

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Applicant acknowledges, with thanks, the office action dated February 20, 2009, and the personal interview of March 12, 2009. Claims 38, 41, and 42 are currently pending.

Claims 38, 41-42 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 7,389,914 to Enright et al. (*hereinafter*, "Enright"), in view of US Patent Publication No. 2004/0164141 to Egami et al. (*hereinafter*, "Engami").

By way of review, the subject application teaches a system for acquiring images of users of devices such as ATM machines that secures improved images by use of multiple camera angles, to which cameras a subject's attention is directed by a display of content targeted to a particular user or class of users in accordance with identification data, such as login data.

As discussed during the Interview, Enright is directed to an automated banking system that functions to capture images of users. Egami is cited as teaching use of a second camera for image acquisition. A key aspect of security relative to devices, such as ATMs, is the capture of facial information, which is particularly valuable in determining an identity of a user. Enright employs a secondary camera that is disposed at an angle, such as behind the user, which is not adept on securing facial information. Egami employs a second camera, but it is directed to a money output area, and would be unlikely to capture facial information. The subject application teaches an improved mechanism for facial image capture. The system employs at least two cameras that are disposed so as to view facial areas of users who may have differing heights relative to the device being used. In addition, the subject application teaches an improved system for directing a user's attention to a field of view of one or both of these cameras during a transaction. This is accomplished by generating content on a display, positioned relative to the cameras, on which content is displayed, that is particularly suited for a user. Determination as to such target content is made in accordance with user identification data, such as a user ID entered at login.

Pursuant to the discussions during the Interview, amendment has been made to each of independent claims 38 and 42 to render more clearly the distinctions over the art of record. As amended, all claims now include limitations relative to the multiple camera angles disposed to capture facial images, as well as the targeted content determined in accordance with an identification of the user.

In accordance with the afore-noted amendments and comments, it is submitted that all claims are patentably distinct over the art, and in condition for allowance thereover. An early allowance of all claims is respectfully requested.

If there are any fees necessitated by the foregoing communication, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0902, referencing our Docket No. 72793/00015.

Date: 3/20/09

Respectfully submitted,



Susan L. Mizer
Registration No. 38,245
TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP
1150 Huntington Bldg.
925 Euclid Ave.
Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1414
Customer No.: 23380
Tel.: (216) 696-3466
Fax: (216) 592-5009